

BELLCOMM. INC.

SUBJECT: The Contribution of Apollo
Combustion Effort to Flammability
Prediction Technique - Case 320

DATE: December 11, 1967
FROM: S. S. Fineblum

ABSTRACT

The author presented a briefing on flammability prediction techniques to the Apollo Program Office on December 5, and on December 7 it was given again by T. L. Powers to Dr. Seamans.

The requirements for flammability prediction were felt to be theoretical and design theories, a body of empirical knowledge, and reliable, efficient means of design verification. The Apollo Program combustion investigations, although specifically directed toward suppressing flame spread in the Apollo spacecraft cabin, have produced results which promise to be more generally applicable. The full-scale tests to verify the use of materials and arrangements used in the Apollo will have application to a large class of future, technically advanced, vehicles. A large amount of flammability data generated for nonmetallic materials in oxygen was assembled and widely distributed in the form of a computerized listing, denoted COMAT. In general, the standardization of test techniques and the test results from Apollo Program efforts are immediately useful and will contribute to the theory and technology of flammability prediction.

The briefing was generated in response to the following action item on flammability predictive techniques from Dr. Seamans October 13 review:

"Continue to examine the development of predictive capabilities and techniques in the area of fire hazards and flammability assessment for manned systems. The empirical results of current tests should be used not only to validate LM and CSM safety, but also to generalize the theory and validate the mechanism of fire and flame propagation which may extend the capability of these data or techniques to future manned systems, including aircraft, submersibles, space systems, etc."

At the conclusion of the December 7 briefing, Dr. Seamans requested that a summary of the applicability of the Apollo flammability work to future manned systems, with emphasis on interagency coordination, be prepared for possible inclusion with the NASA budget supplementary materials in January.

(NASA-CR-92795) THE CONTRIBUTION OF APOLLO
COMBUSTION (Bellcomm, Inc.) 14 P

N79-72583

Unclas

00/25 11057

FF No. 60

1K-92795
(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER) (CATEGORY)

BELLCOMM, INC.

SUBJECT: The Contribution of Apollo Combustion Effort to Flammability Prediction Technique - Case 320 DATE: December 11, 1967
FROM: S. S. Fineblum

MEMORANDUM FOR FILE

The writer presented a briefing to the Apollo Program Office December 5 morning meeting on the contribution of the Apollo combustion investigations to flammability prediction techniques for future vehicles. This subject matter was later presented to Dr. Seamans by T. L. Powers on December 7.

In order to make reliable predictions on the flammability of future vehicle designs we need:

- a. a general theory of how things burn, especially diffusion flames;
- b. a design theory to relate the general knowledge to practical decisions;
- c. a data bank for specific numerical support of expectations and decisions; and
- d. reliable, efficient test techniques (first vu-graph).

Apollo combustion investigations have produced four significant accomplishments. Full-scale cabin tests have been performed. A large amount of nonmetallic combustion data has been produced. The program has developed and documented test techniques and made some beginning contributions to a combustion theory (second vu-graph).

The full-scale spacecraft tests had as their purpose the urgent necessity of establishing the combustion safety prior to manning of specific spacecraft and they had to be performed with a minimum of delay and within the limits of available manpower and facilities. Dominated by the necessity to directly support the Apollo Program, the tests were performed under specific, somewhat limited, conditions (third vu-graph).

During these full-scale tests a large quantity of data on the ignition and propagation characteristics was generated for practical materials, shapes, and assemblies. Test techniques for full-scale testing are being refined and improved. In addition, the tests confirmed LM cabin combustion resistance and the general basis for recent design decisions (fourth vu-graph).

A central effort of the program has been the accumulation of combustion data on nonmetallic materials. As a result of this effort there is now in COMAT ("Characteristics of Materials Test Data Listing") the largest collection of data (about 2,800 entries) on the ignition, flame spread, and some pyrolysis characteristics of practical materials. This collection totals more than all other previous oxygen combustion data.

The effects of acceleration fields on combustion of some select materials have been studied in 1 to 15 g tests at White Sands Testing Facility and elsewhere, as well as during limited zero-g tests, which were reported by Kimzey and his associates.* This newly generated data has been widely distributed and will become generally available (fifth vu-graph).

Another result of program efforts will be a handbook, "Nonmetallic Materials for Spacecraft," which is being published under the direction of the Reliability and Quality Assurance Directorate at MSC. This handbook will summarize the findings of the program and the latest technical literature in a form for the greatest general usefulness. It will be complete next September (sixth vu-graph).

The extensive test work stimulated the development and standardization of test techniques, (see "Procedures and Requirements for the Evaluation of Spacecraft Nonmetallic Materials," MSC-A-66-3). The sample test methods have been revised by joint effort of the people doing the actual testing. For example, the problems of measuring flame speed during acceleration tests have encouraged improvement on the instrumentation and operation of such tests. Full-scale combustion experience has contributed to techniques which will be applicable to other vehicle systems because the size and the shape and the materials and the general configuration are going to be at least similar. During a recent Combustion Institute conference** it was the unanimous consensus that full-scale techniques are, at present, the best way of getting dependable, practical, combustion information (seventh vu-graph).

The program effort includes an attempt to integrate present knowledge into a general combustion theory. The work at Atlantic Research has produced progress, both by experiment and analysis, toward understanding what influences flame spread and

*NASA Technical Report, NASA TR R-246, prepared by Manned Spacecraft Center

**First Meeting of Eastern Section of the Combustion Institute at Mellon Institute, Pittsburgh, November 27-28, 1967

flame height and the effect of acceleration on combustion. History has shown that whenever a large body of logically ordered knowledge has been accumulated the result has been a stimulus to the theoreticians to explain and to integrate this newly acquired experimental knowledge. There is every reason to believe that this new accumulation of data points will similarly stimulate the advance of combustion theory (eighth vu-graph).

There remains, however, a distinct need for additional effort and the limitations of the program's contributions to flammability prediction techniques are quite obvious. First, the nonmetallic materials tested were primarily limited to Apollo materials. Only a pure oxygen atmosphere, and only nominal pressures (5.0, 6.2 and 16.5 psi) were used during the tests. Very little high-g data and less zero-g data were generated. Toxicity and pyrolysis measurements have been handicapped by relatively insensitive sensing techniques. The overall and gross deficiency of the available combustion theory, characteristic of not only this program but of available technology generally, has not yet been appreciably repaired by the limited efforts in this direction (ninth vu-graph).

S. S. Fineblum
S. S. Fineblum

2031-SSF-sam

Attachments
Vu-graphs

CENTRAL REQUIREMENTS FOR FLAMMABILITY PREDICTIVE TECHNIQUES

- GENERAL THEORY OF COMBUSTION
- DESIGN THEORY
- DATA BANK
- DESIGN VERIFICATION

[illegible]

1. **Introduction**
 2. **Background**
 3. **Methods**
 4. **Results**
 5. **Conclusion**
 6. **References**
 7. **Appendix**
 8. **Figure 1**
 9. **Figure 2**
 10. **Figure 3**
 11. **Figure 4**
 12. **Figure 5**
 13. **Figure 6**
 14. **Figure 7**
 15. **Figure 8**
 16. **Figure 9**
 17. **Figure 10**
 18. **Figure 11**
 19. **Figure 12**
 20. **Figure 13**
 21. **Figure 14**
 22. **Figure 15**
 23. **Figure 16**
 24. **Figure 17**
 25. **Figure 18**
 26. **Figure 19**
 27. **Figure 20**
 28. **Figure 21**
 29. **Figure 22**
 30. **Figure 23**
 31. **Figure 24**
 32. **Figure 25**
 33. **Figure 26**
 34. **Figure 27**
 35. **Figure 28**
 36. **Figure 29**
 37. **Figure 30**
 38. **Figure 31**
 39. **Figure 32**
 40. **Figure 33**
 41. **Figure 34**
 42. **Figure 35**
 43. **Figure 36**
 44. **Figure 37**
 45. **Figure 38**
 46. **Figure 39**
 47. **Figure 40**
 48. **Figure 41**
 49. **Figure 42**
 50. **Figure 43**
 51. **Figure 44**
 52. **Figure 45**
 53. **Figure 46**
 54. **Figure 47**
 55. **Figure 48**
 56. **Figure 49**
 57. **Figure 50**
 58. **Figure 51**
 59. **Figure 52**
 60. **Figure 53**
 61. **Figure 54**
 62. **Figure 55**
 63. **Figure 56**
 64. **Figure 57**
 65. **Figure 58**
 66. **Figure 59**
 67. **Figure 60**
 68. **Figure 61**
 69. **Figure 62**
 70. **Figure 63**
 71. **Figure 64**
 72. **Figure 65**
 73. **Figure 66**
 74. **Figure 67**
 75. **Figure 68**
 76. **Figure 69**
 77. **Figure 70**
 78. **Figure 71**
 79. **Figure 72**
 80. **Figure 73**
 81. **Figure 74**
 82. **Figure 75**
 83. **Figure 76**
 84. **Figure 77**
 85. **Figure 78**
 86. **Figure 79**
 87. **Figure 80**
 88. **Figure 81**
 89. **Figure 82**
 90. **Figure 83**
 91. **Figure 84**
 92. **Figure 85**
 93. **Figure 86**
 94. **Figure 87**
 95. **Figure 88**
 96. **Figure 89**
 97. **Figure 90**
 98. **Figure 91**
 99. **Figure 92**
 100. **Figure 93**
 101. **Figure 94**
 102. **Figure 95**
 103. **Figure 96**
 104. **Figure 97**
 105. **Figure 98**
 106. **Figure 99**
 107. **Figure 100**
 108. **Figure 101**
 109. **Figure 102**
 110. **Figure 103**
 111. **Figure 104**
 112. **Figure 105**
 113. **Figure 106**
 114. **Figure 107**
 115. **Figure 108**
 116. **Figure 109**
 117. **Figure 110**
 118. **Figure 111**
 119. **Figure 112**
 120. **Figure 113**
 121. **Figure 114**
 122. **Figure 115**
 123. **Figure 116**
 124. **Figure 117**
 125. **Figure 118**
 126. **Figure 119**
 127. **Figure 120**
 128. **Figure 121**
 129. **Figure 122**
 130. **Figure 123**
 131. **Figure 124**
 132. **Figure 125**
 133. **Figure 126**
 134. **Figure 127**
 135. **Figure 128**
 136. **Figure 129**
 137. **Figure 130**
 138. **Figure 131**
 139. **Figure 132**
 140. **Figure 133**
 141. **Figure 134**
 142. **Figure 135**
 143. **Figure 136**
 144. **Figure 137**
 145. **Figure 138**
 146. **Figure 139**
 147. **Figure 140**
 148. **Figure 141**
 149. **Figure 142**
 150. **Figure 143**
 151. **Figure 144**
 152. **Figure 145**
 153. **Figure 146**
 154. **Figure 147**
 155. **Figure 148**
 156. **Figure 149**
 157. **Figure 150**
 158. **Figure 151**
 159. **Figure 152**
 160. **Figure 153**
 161. **Figure 154**
 162. **Figure 155**
 163. **Figure 156**
 164. **Figure 157**
 165. **Figure 158**
 166. **Figure 159**
 167. **Figure 160**
 168. **Figure 161**
 169. **Figure 162**
 170. **Figure 163**
 171. **Figure 164**
 172. **Figure 165**
 173. **Figure 166**
 174. **Figure 167**
 175. **Figure 168**
 176. **Figure 169**
 177. **Figure 170**
 178. **Figure 171**
 179. **Figure 172**
 180. **Figure 173**
 181. **Figure 174**
 182. **Figure 175**
 183. **Figure 176**
 184. **Figure 177**
 185. **Figure 178**
 186. **Figure 179**
 187. **Figure 180**
 188. **Figure 181**
 189. **Figure 182**
 190. **Figure 183**
 191. **Figure 184**
 192. **Figure 185**
 193. **Figure 186**
 194. **Figure 187**
 195. **Figure 188**
 196. **Figure 189**
 197. **Figure 190**
 198. **Figure 191**
 199. **Figure 192**
 200. **Figure 193**
 201. **Figure 194**
 202. **Figure 195**
 203. **Figure 196**
 204. **Figure 197**
 205. **Figure 198**
 206. **Figure 199**
 207. **Figure 200**
 208. **Figure 201**
 209. **Figure 202**
 210. **Figure 203**
 211. **Figure 204**
 212. **Figure 205**
 213. **Figure 206**
 214. **Figure 207**
 215. **Figure 208**
 216. **Figure 209**
 217. **Figure 210**

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

2000

CONSTITUTION THEORY

COVERED BY COMBINATION

RESULTS:

- DATA ON IGNITION AND PROPAGATION CHARACTERISTICS
- IGNITION POWER, TEMPERATURE, TIME
- PROPAGATION MECHANISMS - MOVIES
- REFINEMENT OF TEST TECHNIQUES
- CONFIRMATION OF LIA-8 CABIN
- NO SIGNIFICANT PROPAGATION
- NO MATERIALS COMBINATION SURPRISES
- INDICATION OF SUCCESS OF DESIGN APPROACH

NONMETALLIC MATERIALS COMBUSTION DATA

- LARGEST COLLECTION OF DATA ON PRACTICAL MATERIALS (COMAT)
 - 2800 ENTRIES
 - 1500 MATERIALS AND COMPONENTS
- IGNITION, FLAME SPREAD AND OUTGASSING IN 100% O₂ AT 16.5 & 6.2 OR 5.0 PSI
- EFFECTS OF AGGRAVATION ON SELECT MATERIALS
- DISTRIBUTION OF TEST DATA
 - NASA CENTER
 - NASA CONTRACTORS
 - USAF
 - FAA

DESIGN HANDBOOK - HYDRAULIC
MATERIALS FOR SPACECRAFT
(CHAPTER 1009)

- 1 - CRITERIA
- 2 - COMBUSTION CHARACTERISTICS
- 3 - TOXICITY
- 4 - DESIGN CONSIDERATION
- 5 - COMAT

TEST TECHNIQUES

- STANDARDIZED MATERIALS SAMPLE TESTS
(MSC-A-66-3-D)
- ACCELERATION TESTS
- FULL SCALE EXPERIENCE
 - APPLICABLE TO OTHER SYSTEMS
 - EXISTING CONCEPTS OR NECESSITY

COMBUSTION THEORY

- A LAMINAR RESEARCH STUDY
 - FLAME SPREAD
 - FLAME HEIGHT
 - ACCELERATION EFFECTS
- ANTICIPATE FURTHER ADVANCES AS RESULT OF ORGANIZED COMBUSTION DATA

PROGRAM LIMITATIONS

LIMITED MATERIALS TESTING

- APOLLO NONMETALLIC MATERIALS
- OXYGEN ATMOSPHERE
- RESTRICTED PRESSURES
- LITTLE HIGH-G DATA
- LESS ZERO-G DATA
- LIMITED TOXICITY MEASUREMENTS

DEFICIENCY OF GENERAL THEORY

BELLCOMM, INC.

Subject: The Contribution of Apollo
Combustion Effort to Flammability
Prediction Technique

From: S. S. Fineblum

DISTRIBUTION LIST

NASA Headquarters:

Messrs. L. E. Day - MAT
G. H. Hage - MA-A
J. K. Holcomb - MAO
J. W. Humphreys - MM
L. B. James - MA-A
T. A. Keegan - MA-2
J. R. Kubat - MAP
C. M. McGuire - MA
W. C. Schneider - MA
J. B. Skaggs - MAP
G. C. White, Jr. - MAR

Manned Spacecraft Center

Messrs. G. S. Abbey - PA
J. W. Craig - PD5
R. L. Johnston - ES4

Bellcomm, Inc.

Messrs. G. M. Anderson
A. P. Boysen
D. R. Hagner
P. L. Havenstein
W. C. Hittinger
B. T. Howard
J. Z. Menard
V. S. Mummert
I. D. Nehama
T. L. Powers
I. M. Ross
W. Strack
R. L. Wagner

Central Files
Department 2031
Department 1023
Library